

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/708,671	03/18/2004		Timothy G. Offerle	81095823FGT1905	2670	
28549	7590	12/08/2005		EXAMINER		
KEVIN G. M		A	TO, TUAN C			
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELI		•	3663			

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/708,671	OFFERLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan C. To	3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 S 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2-14 and 27-35 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 2-14 and 27-35 are subject to restrict Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2002 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 □ The oa	wn from consideration. ion and/or election requirement. er. i⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/18/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/708,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2-14, drawn to a process, classified in class..., subclass...
- II. Claims 27-35, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class..., subclass...
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus, for example, a stability system applied on a motor vehicle when the vehicle is traveling on a curve.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper.

4. Species Election:

Upon election of invention I, II, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):

- A. Embodiment of figure 10.
- B. Embodiment of figure 15.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,671

Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

C. Embodiment of figure 19.

D. Embodiment of figure 21.

E. Embodiment of figure 22.

5. Upon election of invention A, B, C, D, or E, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):

- a1. Generating a reverse direction from a shift level (claim 2)
- a2. Generating a reverse direction from a push button (claim 3)
- a3. Generating a reverse direction from a transmitter controller (claim 4)
- a4. Generating a reverse direction from a wheel speed sensor (claim 5)
- 6. Upon election of invention a1, a2, a3, or a4, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):
 - b1. Apply brake-steer by applying first brake and second brake (claim 29)
 - b2. Apply brake-steer by applying one brake at a first wheel (claim 30)
 - b3. Apply brake-steer by applying increased drive torque (claim 31)
- 7. Upon election of invention b1, b2, or b3, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):

Application/Control Number: 10/708,671

Art Unit: 3663

- c1. In response to reverse signal and steering wheel angle (claim 32)
- c2. In response to reverse signal and yaw rate signal (claim 33)
- c3. In response to reverse signal and steering torque signal (claim 34)
- c4. In response to reverse signal and steering wheel angle and velocity signal (claim 35)
- 8. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 3663

or more the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusions

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/708,671

Art Unit: 3663

Patent Examiner,

Tuan C To

November 28, 2005